

Correction to: Variations of methane stable isotopic values from an Alpine peatland on the eastern Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau

Qian Guo^{1,2,3} · Haijun Peng^{1,3,4}  · Bing Hong^{1,3,4} · Hu Yao^{1,2,3} · Yongxuan Zhu¹ · Hanwei Ding^{1,2,3} · Ning An¹ · Yetang Hong¹

Published online: 4 August 2021

© Science Press and Institute of Geochemistry, CAS and Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2021

Correction to: Acta Geochim <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11631-021-00477-z>

The article “Variations of methane stable isotopic values from an Alpine peatland on the eastern Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau” written by Qian Guo, Haijun Peng, Bing Hong, Hu Yao, Yongxuan Zhu, Hanwei Ding, Ning An, Yetang Hong was originally published electronically on the publisher’s internet portal (currently SpringerLink) on 3 June 2021. There were some errors in the published article which should be corrected. The authors apologize for these errors and any confusion caused.

In the article, all the units of the isotopic values should be ‰ and all of the isotopic signatures from wetlands should be negative values. Other errors are also corrected in the following table.

A list of errors with their corrections

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11631-021-00477-z>.

 Haijun Peng
penghaijun@mail.gyig.ac.cn

 Bing Hong
hongbing@vip.skleg.cn

¹ State Key Laboratory of Environmental Geochemistry, Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guiyang 550081, China

² University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

³ Bayinbuluk Alpine Wetland Carbon Flux Research Station, Chinese Flux Observation and Research Network, Beijing 100049, China

⁴ CAS Center for Excellence in Quaternary Science and Global Change, Xi'an 710061, China

Error number	Error locations	Errors	Corrections
1	Abstract	$-71\% \pm 1.3\%$	$-71 \pm 1.3\text{\textperthousand}$
2	Section 2.2 paragraph 2	$\delta^{13}\text{C}(\text{\textperthousand})$	$\delta^{13}\text{C}(\text{\textperthousand})$
3	Section 3.1 paragraph 3	%	‰
4	Section 3.1 paragraph 6	2–	2–3
5	Section 4.1 paragraph 2	CH ₄	CH ₄
6	Section 4.2 paragraph 1	$-68.30\% \pm 1.6\%$	$-68.30 \pm 1.6\text{\textperthousand}$
7	Section 4.2 paragraph 1	$-2.39\% \pm 0.8\%$	$-72.39 \pm 0.8\text{\textperthousand}$
8	Section 4.2 paragraph 1	$-69.49\% \pm 1.8\%$	$-69.49 \pm 1.8\text{\textperthousand}$
9	Section 4.2 paragraph 2	3%	3‰
10	Section 4.3 paragraph 1	-60%	$-60\text{\textperthousand}$
11	Section 4.3 paragraph 1	53%	$-53\text{\textperthousand}$
12	Section 4.3 paragraph 1	-55%	$-55\text{\textperthousand}$
13	Section 4.3 paragraph 1	66.1%	$-66.1\text{\textperthousand}$
14	Section 4.3 paragraph 1	-67%	$-67\text{\textperthousand}$
15	Section 4.3 paragraph 1	-70%	$-70\text{\textperthousand}$
16	Section 4.3 paragraph 1	10%	10‰
17	Section 4.3 paragraph 1	67.8%	$-67.8\text{\textperthousand}$
18	Section 4.3 paragraph 1	56.7%	$-56.7\text{\textperthousand}$

Error number	Error locations	Errors	Corrections
19	Section 4.3 paragraph 2	– 71%	– 71‰
20	Section 4.3 paragraph 2	altitude	latitude
21	Table 2	55.9	– 55.9
22	Conclusions	– 71%	– 71‰