ORIGINAL ARTICLE



# Rock chemical weathering by sulfuric acid: pathway, method and prospect

Dong Zhang<sup>1,2</sup> • Zhiqi Zhao<sup>2</sup>

Received: 2 April 2017/Revised: 11 April 2017/Accepted: 28 June 2017/Published online: 25 July 2017 © Science Press, Institute of Geochemistry, CAS and Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany 2017

Abstract To investigate the role of sulfuric acid-based carbonate weathering in global  $CO_2$  sequestration of climate changes, we systematically discussed the pathway of sulfuric acid in rock chemical weathering and its feedback mechanism for global warming. We showed the methods used to determine the accurate amount of sulfate flux, accounting for the sulfuric acid resulted from sulfide oxidation. Finally, we pointed out some prospects for further detailed work on the exact calculation of the sulfate fluxes for the  $CO_2$  net-release.

**Keywords** Chemical weathering · Sulfuric acid · Global climate change · Sulfate isotope

# **1** Pathway

The level of atmospheric  $CO_2$  is an important issue for global warming. The atmospheric/soil  $CO_2$  consumption by rock chemical weathering causes negative feedback (Walker et al. 1981). On geological time scales (Multimillion years, Ma), chemical weathering of silicate rocks has a key role in regulating the level of atmospheric  $CO_2$  (Hartmann et al. 2009). Atmospheric/soil  $CO_2$  consumption by rock weathering is

11th International Symposium on Geochemistry of the Earth's Surface.

Dong Zhang zhangdong1978@gmail.com

- <sup>1</sup> School of Resource and Environment, Henan Polytechnic University, Jiaozuo 454000, China
- <sup>2</sup> State Key Laboratory of Environmental Geochemistry, Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guiyang 550081, China

estimated to be 258–288 Mt C  $a^{-1}$  at present day of which silicate weathering proportions range from 49% to 60% (Amiotte Suchet et al. 2003; Gaillardet et al. 1999).

There are two distinctive pathways of rock chemical weathering associated with atmospheric/soil  $CO_2$  sequestration (Beaulieu et al. 2011; Calmels et al. 2007; Li et al. 2008; Spence and Telmer 2005).

### 1.1 Carbonic acid-based weathering

$$CO_2 \downarrow +H_2O + CaCO_3 \rightarrow 2HCO_3^- + Ca^{2+}$$
(1)

$$2CO_2 \downarrow + CaSiO_3 + 3H_2O \rightarrow 2HCO_3^- + Ca^{2+} + H_4SiO_4$$
(2)

$$2NaAlSi_{3}O_{8} + 2CO_{2} \downarrow +11H_{2}O \\ \rightarrow Al_{2}Si_{2}O_{5}(OH)_{4} + 2Na^{+} + 2HCO_{3}^{-} \\ + 4H_{4}SiO_{4}$$

$$4FeS_2 + 15O_2 + 8H_2O \rightarrow 2Fe_2O_3 + 8H_2SO_4 \tag{4}$$

$$8H_2SO_4 + 16CaCO_3 \rightarrow 8SO_4^{2-} + 16HCO_3^{-} + 16Ca^{2+}$$

(3)

$$8H_2SO_4 + 8CaSiO_3 + 8H_2O \rightarrow 8SO_4^{2-} + 8Ca^{2+} + 8H_4SiO_4$$
(6)

When dissolved constituents of these reactions are transported to the oceans by river water, carbonate minerals will be precipitated along with the release of  $CO_2$  as shown in Eq. 7:

$$2HCO_3^- + Ca^{2+} \to CO_2 \uparrow + H_2O + CaCO_3 \downarrow \tag{7}$$

Because the residence time of bicarbonate  $(HCO_3^-)$  in the ocean is estimated to be 0.083 Ma, carbonate

weathering and deposition must be balanced on roughly the same time scale ( $\sim 10^5$  year) (Hartmann et al. 2009). Over this time scale, carbonic acid-based weathering of carbonate rocks has no net-sink of consumed atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> (Eqs. 1 and 7). However, calcium feldspar and albite have nearly 50% and 100% net-sink of consumed atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> (Eqs. 2, 3 and 7), respectively (Hartmann et al. 2009).

The marine residence time of  $SO_4^{2-}$  (10 Ma), (Beaulieu et al. 2011) is two orders of magnitude greater than that of  $HCO_3^-$  (0.1 Ma). Therefore, the rate of removal of  $SO_4^{2-}$  is slow compared to the rate of removal of  $HCO_3^-$  and sulfuric acid-based weathering of carbonate rocks has a net-release of  $CO_2$  into the atmosphere (Eqs. 5 and 7) (Beaulieu et al. 2011). However, sulfuric acid-base weathering of calcium feldspar and albite has no effect on the balance of atmospheric  $CO_2$  sequestration (Eq. 6).

Therefore, sulfuric acid-based weathering of carbonate rocks has a long-term effect on the amount of atmospheric  $CO_2$  and has an important role in global warming. Considering this aspect, many researchers have conducted studies to illustrate the mechanism of this process by laboratory and field experiments (Beaulieu et al. 2011; Calmels et al. 2007; Han et al. 2010; Li et al. 2008, 2011).

#### 2 Methods

To accurately define this sulfuric acid-based weathering and determine the consequence for global  $CO_2$  sequestration, two key methods have often been used to identify the source of sulfuric acid in river water and calculate the proportion of sulfuric acid responsible for the net-release of  $CO_2$ .

#### 2.1 Forward modeling

The initial purpose of forward modeling is to calculate the accurate contribution of silicate weathering in atmospheric  $CO_2$  sequestration (Gaillardet et al. 1999), but we can also use this modeling to obtain the ratio of sulfate from sulfuric acid in river water. The principle of forward modeling is that dissolved components in river water are all derived from dissolution of carbonate rocks, silicate rocks, atmospheric wet deposition, sulfide oxidation, gypsum, and anthropogenic effluents as described in Eq. (8):

$$[X]_{River} = [X]_{Carbonate} + [X]_{Silicate} + [X]_{Sulfide} + [X]_{Gypsum} + [X]_{Anthropogen} + [X]_{Atmosphere}$$
(8)

where [X] represents the dissolved components in river water. Atmospheric wet deposition constituents should be confirmed first to calculate the other components. After we obtained the actual rainwater chemical compositions in background station, we calculated the exact ratios of respective component concentrations in rainwater to chloride (Cl<sup>-</sup>) concentration because of its conservative behavior. The ratios were chosen to quantify the contribution of rainwater into river water as discussed below.

It was assumed that chloride in river water was totally derived from atmospheric deposition, halite, and anthropogenic activities. The head stream of river water had less anthropogenic activities, so if there was no obvious halite existing, chloride could be assumed to only be derived from atmospheric wet deposition. Other components from atmospheric deposition in river water could be calculated by the ratios to chloride (Table 1). After calculating the contribution of atmospheric deposition, other contributions could similarly be determined by ratios within different sources (see below Table 1).

It is worth to note that atmospheric deposition has different ion ratios at different stations due to variable geology and climate (Table 1), so only the local rainwater ratios could be used to verify the contribution of rainwater to river water. Based on different ratios of dissolved sulfate compared to sodium (Na) in different sources, contributions of sulfate ions in river water from atmospheric deposition, sulfide, and gypsum could be obtained. Therefore, the net-release of  $CO_2$  could be calculated as Eq. (9):

$$CO_{2-Sulf-carb} = 0.5[HCO_3]_{Sulf-carb} = [SO_4]_{Sulf}$$
<sup>(9)</sup>

## 2.2 Dual sulfate isotopes

The dual sulfate isotope method is very beneficial in identifying the sources of sulfate in river water and is valid in many rivers in the world (Brenot et al. 2007; Calmels et al. 2007; Li et al. 2011, 2013, 2015; Otero et al. 2008; Yuan and Mayer 2012). To exactly determine contributions of sulfuric acid from oxidation of sulfide minerals and SO<sub>2</sub>, the sulfate from dissolution of gypsum and agriculture fertilizers must be excluded. Sulfur and oxygen isotopes of gypsum usually have values from +10% to +30% and from +10% to +20%, respectively (Calmels et al. 2007). Agriculture fertilizers have wide sulfur and oxygen isotope values due to the application of sulfide and gypsum minerals (Zhang et al. 2015a), so local fertilizers must be sampled to know the range of dual sulfate isotope values. Sulfide minerals also have a wide range of sulfur isotope compositions from -20% to +10% (Calmels et al. 2007) but obviously different from those of gypsum, which allows us to calculate the contribution of sulfide oxidation as in the following equations:

$$[SO_4]_{River} = a * [SO_4]_{Atmosphere} + b * [SO_4]_{Sulfide} + c * [SO_4]_{Gypsum}$$
(10)

$$\delta^{34}S_{River} = a * \delta^{34}S_{Atmosphere} + b * \delta^{34}S_{Sulfide} + c * \delta^{34}S_{Gypsum}$$
(11)

 
 Table 1
 Common used ratios
 for different sources during forward modeling

Ratios	Evaporites <sup>a</sup>	Carbonates <sup>a</sup>	Silicates <sup>a</sup>	Anthropogenic <sup>a</sup>	Atmospheric <sup>a</sup>	Atmospheric <sup>1</sup>
Ca/Na	$0.17\pm0.09$	$50 \pm 20$	$0.35\pm0.5$	0.00	$2 \pm 1$	$4.18\pm2.58$
Mg/Na	$0.02\pm0.01$	$20 \pm 12$	$0.2\pm0.1$	0.00	$0.7\pm0.3$	$0.69\pm2.58$
K/Na	0.00	0.00	$0.17\pm1$	$0.2 \pm 1$	$0.4 \pm 1$	$0.44\pm0.23$
Cl/Na	1.00	0.00	0.00	$2\pm 2$	$1 \pm 1$	$0.92\pm0.52$
NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> /Na	0.00	0.00	0.00	$4 \pm 1$	$1 \pm 0.5$	$0.94\pm0.43$
SO4 <sup>2-</sup> /Ca	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	/	/
SO4 <sup>2–</sup> /Na	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.95	/	$3.03\pm2.04$

From Li et al. (2014)

<sup>b</sup> From Zhang et al. (2015b)

$$1 = \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{c} \tag{12}$$

where a, b, c parameters are individual proportions of atmospheric, sulfide and gypsum sulfate, and the sum of a, b, c is equal to 1. The sulfate concentration and the dual isotope compositions of atmospheric deposition could be determined by annual sampling or references from nearby meteorological stations. Dual isotope compositions of gypsum often fall into a narrow range scale (e.g. +16‰ and +12% for sulfur and oxygen isotopic values of gypsum in Yalong River Basin, (Li et al. 2014)). It is worth noting that sulfide oxidation has two distinctive pathways illustrated as Eqs. 13 and 14 (Calmels et al. 2007; Li et al. 2014):

$$FeS_{2} + \frac{7}{2}O_{2} + H_{2}O \rightarrow Fe^{2+} + 2SO_{4}^{2-} + 2H^{+}$$
(13)  
$$FeS_{2} + 14Fe^{3+} + 8H_{2}O \rightarrow 15Fe^{2+} + 2SO_{4}^{2-} + 16H^{+}$$

Sulfate derived from Eq. 13 was expected to have heavier oxygen isotope values, but those from Eq. 14 tended to have lighter oxygen isotope values due to  $\delta^{18}$ O of  $O_2$  being generally heavier than that of  $H_2O$ .

With the above equations, we could calculate the accurate proportion of sulfate from sulfide oxidation, and then the  $CO_2$  net-release from carbonate weathering by sulfuric acid could also be obtained by Eq. 9.

#### **3** Results

We have summarized the calculations of CO2 net-release into atmosphere by sulfuric acid in carbonate wreathing and compared it to the CO2 consumed by carbonate and silicate weathering by carbonic acid (Table 2).

From Table 2, it was found that in some river basins the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> net-released from carbonate weathering by sulfuric acid exceeded the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> consumed by silicate weathering by carbonic acid, e.g. Qin River,

Acta Geochim (2017) 36(3):474-478

Wuyang River, Nanpan River, Jinshajiang, Lancangjiang and Nujiang. In some silicate-dominated areas, the amount of CO2 net- released from sulfuric acid-based carbonated weathering was less than the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> consumed by carbonic acid-based silicate weathering due to the lack of carbonate rocks. Although most of the results in Table 2 were calculated by forward modeling and the results may have some uncertainties, it still could be believed that the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> net-released from carbonate weathering by sulfuric acid was considerable and should be seriously reassessed when balancing the global CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration.

#### **3.1 Prospect**

(14)

It is a vital step to accurately identify sulfuric acid derived from sulfide oxidation by forward modeling or dual sulfate isotope for global CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration. However, sulfate behaviors are very complicated in river systems, and several important aspects are not well understood yet and more attention must be paid to:

## 3.1.1 Spatial and temporal variations of dissolved sulfate sources in river water

Dissolved sulfate has different sources in different seasons during which the atmospheric deposition and groundwater supply appear to have significantly variable characteristics. Detailed sampling should be considered, e.g. once a month, to more accurately determine the sulfate flux derived from sulfide oxidation to the ocean.

# 3.1.2 Further study on the behavior of DIC during sulfuric acid-based carbonate weathering

Many studies have used the carbon isotope of DIC to determine the process of sulfuric acid in carbonate weathering. If the carbon in DIC was derived from carbonate rocks, carbon isotope values of DIC should show more positive than the average value of DIC derived from carbonic acid and carbonate. However, variations of carbon

Table 2 CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration by carbonic acid and sulfuric acid

River	Flow $10^8$ m <sup>3</sup> year <sup>-1</sup>	Basin 10 <sup>4</sup> km <sup>2</sup>	CO <sub>2</sub> sequestration/1	References		
			Silicate–carbonic acid	Carbonate–carbonic acid	Carbonate-sulfuric acid	-
Carbonate-dominated						
Qin River	5.2	1.35	0.04	1.93	0.85	Zhang et al. (2015b)
Yalong River	603.6	12.84	11.81	36.08	8.47	Li et al. (2014)
Wujiang River	376.0	6.68	6.55	45.56	6.75	Han and Liu (2004)
Wuyang River	35.7	0.65	0.70	5.71	1.31	Han and Liu (2004)
Qingshui River	111.0	1.71	2.31	10.82	2.07	Han and Liu (2004)
Nanpan River	0.78	5.7	4.10	35.00	10.03	Liu et al. (2008)
Beipan River	2.09	2.64	3.38	19.32	2.26	Liu et al. (2008)
Jinshajiang River	394.0	22.9	7.79	32.06	16.72	Tao et al. (2015)
Lancangjiang River	290.0	8.6	10.15	21.24	25.89	Tao et al. (2015)
Nujiang River	531.0	11.3	15.82	25.09	48.25	Tao et al. (2015)
Silicate-dominated						
Nenjiang River	225.0	29.70	12.18	10.10	0.89	Liu et al. (2013)
Second Songhuajiang	169.0	7.34	9.40	10.28	1.76	Liu et al. (2013)
Songhuajiang	733.0	55.70	37.32	29.52	2.79	Liu et al. (2013)
Han River-summer	625.4	26.4	430.32	300.96	49.37	Shin et al. (2011)
Han River-winter	135.2	26.4	32.47	85.27	11.09	Shin et al. (2011)

isotope values of DIC in river are very complicated and the positive changes could be induced by many factors, e.g.  $CO_2$  outgassing from over-saturation of carbonate,  $CO_2$  outgassing from oxidation of organic carbon, and  $CO_2$  releasing from organic acid-based weathering. As we found in the Qin River Basin, river water mixed with much sewage water often had more positive carbon isotope values because of organic acid-based weathering not because of sulfuric acid-based carbonate weathering (see Zhang et al. 2015a, b).

#### 3.1.3 More detailed work on sulfide oxidation pathways

As shown in Eqs. 13 and 14, two distinctive pathways happened during sulfide oxidation which resulted in different dual sulfate isotope values of produced sulfate. The variable dual sulfate isotope values from sulfide oxidation result in some difficulties in obtaining the accurate contribution of sulfide oxidation to dissolved sulfate in river water. Which pathway is dominated and what percent of this pathway accounts for the sulfate in river water? Sometimes, dual sulfate isotope values did not work well, triple oxygen isotopes of sulfate seem to be used to differentiate the mechanism of these two pathways due to the different capital oxygen-17 isotope values ( $\Delta^{17}O_{-SO_4} = \delta^{18}O'_{-SO_4} - 0.5305 * \delta^{17}O'_{-SO_4}$ , where  $\delta^{18}O'_{-SO_4} = Ln$  ( $\delta^{18}O_{-SO_4}/1000 + 1$ ), similar with  $\delta^{17}O'_{-SO_4}$ ) in O<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O. But in fact, the sulfur and oxygen isotope fractionations

occurred during the sulfide oxidation, making it more difficult to exactly determine the sulfate amount produced by sulfide oxidation.

Acknowledgements This work was supported jointly by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 41573095, 41173030, 4161101324).

#### References

- Amiotte Suchet P, Probst JL, Ludwig W (2003) Worldwide distribution of continental rock lithology: implications for the atmospheric/soil CO<sub>2</sub> uptake by continental weathering and alkalinity river transport to the oceans. Global Biogeochem Cycles 17(2):1038. doi:10.1029/2002GB001891
- Beaulieu E et al (2011) Modeling of water-rock interaction in the Mackenzie basin: competition between sulfuric and carbonic acids. Chem Geol 289(1):114–123
- Brenot A, Carignan J, France-Lanord C, Benoît M (2007) Geological and land use control on  $\delta^{34}$ S and  $\delta^{18}$ O of river dissolved sulfate: the Moselle river basin, France. Chem Geol 244(1–2):25–41
- Calmels D, Gaillardet J, Brenot A, France-Lanord C (2007) Sustained sulfide oxidation by physical erosion processes in the Mackenzie River basin: climatic perspectives. Geology 35(11):1003–1006
- Gaillardet J, Dupré B, Louvat P, Allegre C (1999) Global silicate weathering and CO<sub>2</sub> consumption rates deduced from the chemistry of large rivers. Chem Geol 159(1):3–30
- Han G, Liu C-Q (2004) Water geochemistry controlled by carbonate dissolution: a study of the river waters draining karst-dominated terrain, Guizhou Province, China. Chem Geol 204(1–2):1–21

- Han G, Tang Y, Xu Z (2010) Fluvial geochemistry of rivers draining karst terrain in Southwest China. J Asian Earth Sci 38(1):65–75
- Hartmann J, Jansen N, Dürr HH, Kempe S, Köhler P (2009) Global CO<sub>2</sub>-consumption by chemical weathering: what is the contribution of highly active weathering regions? Global Planet Change 69(4):185–194
- Li S-L, Calmels D, Han G, Gaillardet J, Liu C-Q (2008) Sulfuric acid as an agent of carbonate weathering constrained by  $\delta^{13}$ C DIC: examples from Southwest China. Earth and Planet Sci Lett 270(3):189–199
- Li X-D, Liu C-Q, Liu X-L, Bao L-R (2011) Identification of dissolved sulfate sources and the role of sulfuric acid in carbonate weathering using dual-isotopic data from the Jialing River, Southwest China. J Asian Earth Sci 42(3):370–380
- Li X, Gan Y, Zhou A, Liu Y, Wang D (2013) Hydrological controls on the sources of dissolved sulfate in the Heihe River, a large inland river in the arid northwestern China, inferred from S and O isotopes. Appl Geochem 35:99–109
- Li S-L, Chetelat B, Yue F, Zhao Z, Liu C-Q (2014) Chemical weathering processes in the Yalong River draining the eastern Tibetan Plateau, China. J Asian Earth Sci 88:74–84
- Li X, Gan Y, Zhou A, Liu Y (2015) Relationship between water discharge and sulfate sources of the Yangtze River inferred from seasonal variations of sulfur and oxygen isotopic compositions. J Geochem Explor 153:30–39
- Liu C-Q, Jiang Y-K, Tao F-X, Lang Y-C, Li S-L (2008) Chemical weathering of carbonate rocks by sulfuric acid and the carbon cycling in Southwest China. Geochimica 37(04):404–414

- Liu B et al (2013) Characteristics of silicate rock weathering in cold temperate zone: a case study of Neijiang River, China. Chin J Ecol 32(04):1006–1016
- Otero N, Soler A, Canals À (2008) Controls of  $\delta^{34}$ S and  $\delta^{18}$ O in dissolved sulphate: learning from a detailed survey in the Llobregat River (Spain). Appl Geochem 23(5):1166–1185
- Shin W-J, Ryu J-S, Park Y, Lee K-S (2011) Chemical weathering and associated CO<sub>2</sub> consumption in six major river basins, South Korea. Geomorphology 129(3–4):334–341
- Spence J, Telmer K (2005) The role of sulfur in chemical weathering and atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes: evidence from major ions,  $\delta^{13}$ C DIC, and  $\delta^{34}$ S SO<sub>4</sub> in rivers of the Canadian Cordillera. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 69(23):5441–5458
- Tao Z-H et al (2015) Chemical weathering in the Three Rivers (Jinshajiang, Langcangjiang, and Nujinag) watershed, Southwest China. Chin J Ecol 34(8):2297–2308
- Walker JC, Hays P, Kasting JF (1981) A negative feedback mechanism for the long-term stabilization of Earth's surface temperature. J Geophys Res Ocean 86(C10):9776–9782
- Yuan F, Mayer B (2012) Chemical and isotopic evaluation of sulfur sources and cycling in the Pecos River, New Mexico, USA. Chem Geol 291:13–22
- Zhang D, Li X-D, Zhao Z-Q, Liu C-Q (2015a) Using dual isotopic data to track the sources and behaviors of dissolved sulfate in the western North China Plain. Appl Geochem 52:43–56
- Zhang D, Qin Y, Zhao Z-Q (2015b) Chemical weathering of carbonate rocks by sulfurc acid on small basin in North China. Acta Sci Circum 35(11):3568–3578